

A New Computational Approach for the Fermat-Weber Problem and Extensions

Nelson Maculan (UFRJ), Marcos Negreiros (UEC), Renan Pinto (UFRRJ)

March 2021

Abstract

In this technical note we propose a new computational approach for the Fermat-Weber problem and two extensions of this problem.

1 Introduction

A more detailed work on the Fermat-Weber problem [7] can be found in [2], [6]. In a didactic way, we show in the next section an optimization model presented in [3] and we comment on numerical results for 1,000 points in R^3 with this formulation. In section 3 we present the multi-source Weber problem as a DC programming formulation. Finally, in section 4 we introduce a discrete multi-source Weber problem and its formulation

2 A Mathematical Formulation

Given $a^i \in R^n, i \in P = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, the Fermat-Weber [7] optimization problem (*FWP*) requires finding a point $x \in R^n$, that minimizes the sum of weighted Euclidean distances to all points in P . Let $w_i \geq 0, i \in P$ a weight associated with the Euclidean distance from x to a^i .

The Euclidean distance between x and a^i is

$$\|a^i - x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k)^2}, \quad i \in P.$$

Thus (*FWP*) can be written as follows:

$$(FWP) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p w_i \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k)^2}.$$

Where $x = (x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n)^\top$ is the vector with the variables. (*FWP*) is a convex optimization problem, but it is not smooth.

Another way of representing (*FWP*) :

$$(FWP1) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p w_i z_i,$$

subject to:

$$z_i \geq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k)^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (1)$$

Each constraint i in (1) is known as a second order cone, which can be also written:

$$z_i^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k)^2, \quad z_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (2)$$

You can also write:

$$(FWP2) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p w_i z_i, \quad (3)$$

subject to:

$$z_i^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k)^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4)$$

$$z_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (5)$$

This last formulation (*FWP2*) is a smooth and convex optimization problem, it was presented in [3], however, no computational results were achieved.

The constraints (4) and (5) define a second order cone, where interior point methods developed in [9] can be used. The commercial software XPRESS [8] can recognize automatically constraints (4) and (5) as a second order cone, and uses an interior point algorithm [9] for solving (*FWP2*). We did some computational toy tests for $p = 1,000$ and $n = 3$ with XPRESS, the CPU time is negligible.

3 Multi-source Weber Problem (MWP)

Given $a^i \in R^n, i \in P = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, geometrical position of customers and q a number of facilities whose we have to find their physical locations $x^j \in R^n, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. We know $d_i \in R_+, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ the given demand required by the i^{th} customer, and $o_j \in R_+, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, the maximum offering of the j^{th} facility.

$$(MWP) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q w_{ij} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2}, \quad (6)$$

subject to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^q w_{ij} \geq d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p w_{ij} \leq o_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (7)$$

$$w_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (8)$$

$$x_k^j \in R, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (9)$$

Where w_{ij} denotes the unknown allocation from the j^{th} facility to the i^{th} customer, we assume that the involved transportation costs are proportional to the corresponding distances, see ([5]).

We suppose $\sum_{i=1}^p d_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^q o_j$, thus the set of constraints (7) and (8) will not be empty.

(*MWP*) is neither convex, nor smooth.

3.1 A DC programming formulation

Since $w_{ij} \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ we can also write (6) as following:

$$(MWP) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q w_{ij} z_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

subject to:

$$z_{ij} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (11)$$

(11) will be replaces by

$$z_{ij}^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2, \quad z_{ij} \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (12)$$

We define

$$w_{ij} = t_{ij} - v_{ij}, \quad z_{ij} = t_{ij} + v_{ij}, \quad t_{ij} \in R, \quad v_{ij} \in R, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

Thus $w_{ij} z_{ij} = t_{ij}^2 - v_{ij}^2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

$$(DC : MWP) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q (t_{ij}^2 - v_{ij}^2), \quad (13)$$

subject to:

$$w_{ij} = t_{ij} - v_{ij}, \quad z_{ij} = t_{ij} + v_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (14)$$

$$z_{ij}^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2, \quad z_{ij} \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (15)$$

$$t_{ij} \in R, \quad v_{ij} \in R, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^q w_{ij} \geq d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p w_{ij} \leq o_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (17)$$

$$w_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (18)$$

$$x_k^j \in R, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (19)$$

We minimize the difference of two convex functions known as DC programming in a convex set, see [4], ($DC : MWP$) can be solved using branch-and-bound techniques, [1].

4 A Discrete Multi-source Weber Problem

We propose another approach, Discrete Multi-source Weber Problem ($DMWP$). We will define for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and $\forall j$, $w_{ij} \in S_i = \{s_1^i, s_2^i, \dots, d_i\}$, where $0 = s_1^i < s_2^i < \dots < s_{|S_i|}^i = d_i$.

We consider

$$M = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \|a^i - a^j\|_2.$$

From (6):

$$w_{ij} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n w_{ij}^2 (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2} \quad (20)$$

We define $t_{ijk} = w_{ij}(a_k^i - x_k^j)$. We will be able to write:

$$t_{ijk} \geq -M(1 - y_{ijl}) + s_l^i(a_k^i - x_k^j), \quad l = 1, \dots, |S_i|, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, \dots, q, \quad (21)$$

$$t_{ijk} \leq s_l^i(a_k^i - x_k^j) + M(1 - y_{ijl}), \quad l = 1, \dots, |S_i|, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, \dots, q, \quad (22)$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{|S_i|} y_{ijl} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (23)$$

$$y_{ijl} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|, \quad (24)$$

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^p s_l^i y_{ijl}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|, \quad (25)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^q w_{ij} \geq d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p w_{ij} \leq o_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (26)$$

From (20), (21), (22), (23), (24):

$$w_{ij} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n w_{ij}^2 (a_k^i - x_k^j)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n t_{ijk}^2}.$$

Thus we can write:

$$(DMWP) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q z_{ij}, \quad (27)$$

subject to: (21), (22), (23), (24), (25), (26), and

$$z_{ij} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n t_{ijk}^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (28)$$

Constraints (28) can be replaced by

$$z_{ij}^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n t_{ijk}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (29)$$

$$z_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (30)$$

$$x_k^j \in R, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (31)$$

As we have seen above, the constraints (29), (30) form a second order cone [9].

Finally, we will present a new optimization model whose continuous relaxation is a convex and smooth optimization problem, which we would like to solve using XPRESS:

$$(DMWP) : \min \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q z_{ij},$$

Subject to:

$$t_{ijk} \geq -M(1 - y_{ijl}) + s_l^i (a_k^i - x_k^j), \quad l = 1, \dots, |S_i|, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, \dots, q,$$

$$t_{ijk} \leq s_l^i (a_k^i - x_k^j) + M(1 - y_{ijl}), \quad l = 1, \dots, |S_i|, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, \dots, q,$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{|S_i|} y_{ijl} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

$$y_{ijl} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|,$$

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^p s_l^i y_{ijl}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, |S_i|,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^q w_{ij} \geq d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p w_{ij} \leq o_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

$$z_{ij}^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n t_{ijk}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

$$z_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

$$x_k^j \in R, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

Note: In order for the (DMWP) optimization problem to have a solution, we guarantee that: $\sum_{j=1}^q o_j \geq \sum_{i=1}^p d_i$.

References

- [1] Le Thi Hoai An and Pham Dinh Tao. A branch and bound method via d.c. optimization algorithms and ellipsoidal technique for box constrained nonconvex quadratic problems. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 13:171–206, 1998.
- [2] J. Brimberg. The Fermat—Weber location problem revisited. *Mathematical Programming*, 71:71–16, 1995.
- [3] R. Chandrasekaran and A. Tamir. Algebraic optimization Fermat—Weber location problem. *Mathematical Programming*, 46:219–224, 1990.
- [4] T. Q. Phong. An algorithm for solving general D.C. programming problems. *Operations Research Letters*, 15(2):73–79, 1994.
- [5] A. Raeisi Dehkordi. The optimal solution set of the multi-source Weber problem. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 45:495–514, 2019.
- [6] H. Venceslau, M. Karam-Venceslau, A. E. Xavier, and N. Maculan. A geometric perspective of the Weiszfeld algorithm for solving the Fermat—Weber problem. *RAIRO Operations Research*, 50:157–173, 2016.
- [7] A. Weber. *Über den Standort der Industrien (1909) - English translation: The Theory of the Location of Industries*. Chicago, Chicago University Press, 1929.
- [8] XPRESS. <http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/hall/xpress/>.
- [9] G. Xue and Y. Ye. An efficient algorithm for minimizing a sum of euclidean norms with applications. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 4(7):1017–1036, 1997.