



Systems Engineering and Computer Science Graduate Program Alberto Luiz Coimbra Institute for Graduate Studies and Research in Engineering

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF RIO DE JANEIRO

TECHNICAL REPORT TR-PESC/Coppe/UFRJ- 798/2025

An Approach to the Pseudo-Huber Function in a Primal-Dual Algorithm

Authors:

Lennin Mallma Ramirez¹, Tran Ngoc Nguyen², Nelson Maculan³

PESC/COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro,

Brazil1

DMS, Quy Nhon University, Quy Nhon, Vietnam²
PESC/IM/COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro
Brazil³

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): lenninmr@cos.ufrj.br

Abstract

In this note, we are interested in solving the minimization problem with equality constraints, with non-convexity assumptions. To solve this problem, we consider the primal-dual algorithm that was studied by Armand and Omheni. But in our approach, we consider the Pseudo-Huber function for the case of equality constraints, and not the quadratic penalty function.

Keywords: Non-convex optimization, Augmented Lagrangian methods, Equality-constrained minimization

Publication Date: 20/08/2025

An Approach to the Pseudo-Huber Function in a Primal-Dual Algorithm

Lennin Mallma Ramirez¹, Tran Ngoc Nguyen², Nelson Maculan³ PESC/COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil¹

DMS, Quy Nhon University, Quy Nhon, Vietnam² PESC/IM/COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro Brazil³

Monday 11th August, 2025

Abstract

In this note, we are interested in solving the minimization problem with equality constraints, with non-convexity assumptions. To solve this problem, we consider the primal-dual algorithm that was studied by Armand and Omheni. But in our approach, we consider the Pseudo-Huber function for the case of equality constraints, and not the quadratic penalty function.

1 Introduction and Basic Results

We consider the nonconvex nonlinear programming problem (NLP) as follows

(P)
$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$$
, subject to $c(x) = 0$, (1.1)

where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $c: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are continuously differentiable functions and not necessarily convex. Inspired by the Primal-Dual algorithms studied in work [1] and work [2], we propose an approach similar to that studied in the mentioned works, but considering a Huber function. We denote by $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ the gradient of f at x and by $A(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ the transpose of the Jacobian matrix of c at x. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and w = (x, y). By define

$$F(w) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x) + A(x)y\\ c(x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

the first-order optimality conditions of problem (1) are F(w) = 0. For an iterate $w_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.1 The Pseudo-Huber Primal-Dual Algorithm (PHPDA)

We define the Pseudo-Huber Augmented Lagrangian Function (PHALF) as, $\mathcal{L}_H : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \to \mathbb{R}$, as

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\sigma) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i c_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\sqrt{c_i(x)^2 + 1} - 1 \right)$$

$$= f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i c_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} h\left(c_i(x)\right),$$
(1.3)

where $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$h(t) := \sqrt{t^2 + 1} - 1.$$

For more details on the Pseudo-Huber function, see work [3]. This function has the following properties:

- (a) h(0) = 0,
- (b) h'(0) = 0,
- (c) $h'(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2+1}}$, with -1 < h'(t) < 1, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (d) $h''(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t^2+1)^3}}$, with $0 < h''(t) \le 1$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We can notice that the h function has properties similar to the hyperbolic function (work [4] and [5]). In the function \mathcal{L} , the penalty parameter is $\sigma > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the Lagrange multiplier. The first-order optimality conditions for minimization $\mathcal{L}_H(\cdot, \lambda, \sigma)$ are

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\sigma) = \nabla f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \nabla c_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{c_i(x)}{\sqrt{c_i(x)^2 + 1}} \right) \nabla c_i(x) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

factoring properly, we have that

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\sigma) = \nabla f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\lambda_i + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{c_i(x)}{\sqrt{c_i(x)^2 + 1}}\right) \nabla c_i(x) = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

or, equivalently

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\sigma) = \nabla f(x) + \nabla c(x)^{\top} \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\sigma} h'(c(x))\right) = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$h'(c(x)) = (h'(c_1(x)) \quad h'(c_2(x)) \quad \dots \quad h'(c_m(x)))^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (1.7)

We introducing the dual variable $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$y_i = \lambda_i + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{c_i(x)}{\sqrt{c_i(x)^2 + 1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let us note the following:

(A1) By (c), we have
$$-1 < \frac{c_i(x)}{\sqrt{c_i(x)^2+1}} < 1$$
, then $\sigma |y_i - \lambda_i| < 1, i = 1, ..., m$.

(A2) By (d), we have
$$0 < \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(c_i(x)^2 + 1)^3}} \le \frac{1}{\sigma}$$
.

The optimality conditions can be reformulated as

$$\Phi(w,\lambda,\sigma) := \begin{pmatrix} g(x) + A(x)y\\ F(x)c(x) + \sigma(\lambda - y) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

where $F(x) = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1(x)^2+1}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2(x)^2+1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_m(x)^2+1}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is the diagonal matrix, i.e.,

$$F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1(x)^2 + 1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2(x)^2 + 1}} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_m(x)^2 + 1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The function Φ in the optimality conditions (1.8) can be rewritten under the following form

$$\Phi(w,\lambda,\rho,\sigma) := \begin{pmatrix} g(x) + A(x)y\\ h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.9}$$

where h'(c(x)) is defined in (1.7).

In this case, the regularized Jacobian matrix J of the function Φ with respect to w is defined by

$$J_{\sigma,\theta}(w) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\theta}(w) & A(x) \\ D(x)A(x)^{\top} & -\sigma I \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$D(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(c_1(x)^2 + 1)^3}} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{(c_2(x)^2 + 1)^3}} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{(c_m(x)^2 + 1)^3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} h''(c_1(x)) & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & h''(c_2(x)) & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & h''(c_m(x)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.11)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} h''(c_1(x)) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h''(c_2(x)) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & h''(c_m(x)) \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.12)

$$= \operatorname{diag} (h''(c_1(x)) h''(c_2(x)) \dots h''(c_m(x))). \tag{1.13}$$

It is worth noting that the matrix D(x) is positive definite for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The second order derivative of the function \mathcal{L}_H with respect to x is

$$\nabla^{2} \mathcal{L}_{H}(x,\lambda,\sigma) = \nabla^{2} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \nabla^{2} c_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(c_{i}(x)^{2} + 1)^{3}}} \nabla c_{i}(x) \nabla c_{i}(x)^{T}.$$
 (1.14)

The primal-dual merit function used in our work is given as below

$$\varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}(w) = \mathcal{L}_H(x,\lambda,\sigma) + \frac{\nu}{2\sigma} \left\| h'(c(x)) - \sigma(\lambda - y) \right\|^2, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an estimate of the Lagrange multiplier, $\sigma > 0$ is the penalty parameter and $\nu > 0$ is a scale parameter to balance between two terms in the merit function.

The main of outer and inner iterations is to apply a Newton-type method to solve the nonlinear system (1.8). Hence, at each iteration of these algorithms, algorithms tend to solve the following linear system

$$J_{\sigma,\theta}(w)d = -\Phi(w,\lambda,\sigma), \tag{1.16}$$

where $J_{\sigma,\theta}$ and Φ are respectively defined in (1.10), (1.9) and $d = (d_x d_y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+n}$ is the direction. This system is equivalent to

$$H(\theta)d_x + A(x)d_y = -(g(x) + A(x)y)$$
 (1.17)

$$D(x)A(x)^{\mathsf{T}}d_x - \sigma d_y = -\left(h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y)\right),\tag{1.18}$$

which implies

$$g(x) = -H(\theta)d_x - A(x)(y + d_y)$$
 (1.19)

$$y + d_y - \frac{1}{\sigma}h'(c(x)) - \lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma}D(x)A(x)^{\top}d_x. \tag{1.20}$$

From this fact, we have the following results about the descent direction of the merit function (1.15).

Lemma 1.1. Let $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma > 0$, and $\nu > 0$. If $d = (d_x \ d_y)$ is the solution of the linear system (1.16), then

$$\nabla \varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}(w)^{\top} d = -d_x \left(H(w) + \frac{1}{\sigma} A(x) D(x) A(x)^{\top} \right) d_x - \frac{\nu}{\sigma} \left\| D(x) A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y \right\|^2. \tag{1.21}$$

If $H(w)+(1/\sigma)A(x)D(x)A(x)^{\top}$ is positive definite and $\Phi(w,\lambda,\sigma)$ is nonzero, then d is a descent direction of the merit function $\varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}$ at w.

Proof. By taking the gradient of $\varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}$, we then have

$$\nabla \varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}(w) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x) + A(x)\lambda + \frac{1}{\sigma}A(x)h'(c(x)) + \frac{\nu}{\sigma}A(x)D(x)(h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y)) \\ -\nu(h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y)). \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.22)

This implies that

$$\nabla \varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}(w)^{\top} d = g(x)^{\top} d_x + \lambda^{\top} A(x)^{\top} d_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} h'(c(x))^{\top} A(x)^{\top} d_x$$

$$+ \frac{\nu}{\sigma} \left(h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y) \right)^{\top} D(x)^{\top} A(x)^{\top} d_x - \nu (h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y))^{\top} d_y$$

$$= g(x)^{\top} d_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(h'(c(x)) + \sigma\lambda^{\top} \right) A(x)^{\top} d_x$$

$$+ \frac{\nu}{\sigma} (h'(c(x)) + \sigma(\lambda - y))^{\top} \left(D(x)^{\top} A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y \right).$$

By substituting (1.19), (1.18) to the above formula with noting that $D(x) = D(x)^{\top}$ and $H(w) = H(w)^{\top}$ and then using (1.20), we get

$$\nabla \varphi_{\lambda,\sigma,\nu}(w)^{\top} d = (-H(w)d_x - A(x)(y + d_y))^{\top} d_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(h'(c(x)) + \sigma \lambda^{\top} \right) A(x)^{\top} d_x$$

$$+ \frac{\nu}{\sigma} (D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y)^{\top} \left(D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y \right)$$

$$= -d_x^{\top} H(w) d_x - \left(y + d_y - \frac{1}{\sigma} h'(c(x)) - \lambda^{\top} \right)^{\top} A(x)^{\top} d_x$$

$$- \frac{\nu}{\sigma} (D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y)^{\top} \left(D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y \right)$$

$$= -d_x^{\top} H(w) d_x - \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x \right)^{\top} A(x)^{\top} d_x$$

$$- \frac{\nu}{\sigma} \left\| D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y \right\|^2$$

$$= -d_x^{\top} \left(H(w) + \frac{1}{\sigma} A(x)D(x)A^{\top}(x) \right) d_x - \frac{\nu}{\sigma} \left\| D(x)A(x)^{\top} d_x - \sigma d_y \right\|^2.$$

The proof is then complete.

Funding: This work has been partially supported by FAPERJ (grant E-26/205.684/2022), COPPETEC Foundation, and CNPq.

References

- [1] P. Armand and R. Omheni. A globally and quadratically convergent primal—dual augmented lagrangian algorithm for equality constrained optimization. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 32(1):1–21, 2017. 1
- [2] P. Armand and N. N. Tran. An augmented lagrangian method for equality constrained optimization with rapid infeasibility detection capabilities. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 181:197–215, 2019. 1
- [3] K. Fountoulakis and J. Gondzio. A second-order method for strongly convex ℓ 1-regularization problems. *Mathematical Programming*, 156(1):189–219, 2016. 2

- [4] L. M. Ramirez, N. Maculan, A. E. Xavier, and V. L. Xavier. Dislocation hyperbolic augmented lagrangian algorithm for nonconvex optimization. *RAIRO-Operations Research*, 57(5):2941–2950, 2023. 2
- [5] A. E. Xavier. Penalização hiperbólica: Um novo método para resolução de problemas de otimização. PhD thesis, M. Sc. Thesis-COPPE-UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, 1982. 2